

The order of monochromatic subgraphs with a given minimum degree

Yair Caro *

Raphael Yuster †

Abstract

Let G be a graph. For a given positive integer d , let $f_G(d)$ denote the largest integer t such that in every coloring of the edges of G with two colors there is a monochromatic subgraph with minimum degree at least d and order at least t . For $n > k > d$ let $f(n, k, d)$ denote the minimum of $f_G(d)$ where G ranges over all graphs with n vertices and minimum degree at least k . In this paper we establish $f(n, k, d)$ whenever k or $n - k$ are fixed, and n is sufficiently large. We also consider the case where more than two colors are allowed.

1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple and undirected. For standard terminology used in this paper see [6]. It is well known that in any coloring of the edges of a complete graph with two colors there is a monochromatic connected spanning subgraph. This folkloristic Ramsey-type fact has been generalized in many ways, where one shows that some given properties of a graph G suffice in order to guarantee a large monochromatic subgraph of G with related given properties in any two (or more than two) edge-coloring of G . See, e.g., [2, 3, 4, 5] for these types of results. In this paper we consider the property of having a certain minimum degree. For given positive integers d and r , let $f_G(d, r)$ denote the largest integer t such that in every coloring of the edges of the graph G with r colors there is a monochromatic subgraph with minimum degree at least d and order at least t . For $n > k > d$ let $f(n, k, d, r)$ denote the minimum of $f_G(d, r)$ where G ranges over all graphs with n vertices and minimum degree at least k . The main results of our paper establish $f(n, k, d, 2)$ whenever k or $n - k$ are fixed, and n is sufficiently large. In particular, we prove the following results.

Theorem 1.1 (i) For all $d \geq 1$ and $k \geq 4d - 3$,

$$f(n, k, d, 2) \geq \frac{k - 4d + 4}{2(k - 3d + 3)}n + \frac{3d(d - 1)}{4(k - 3d + 3)}. \quad (1)$$

*Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa at Oranim, Tivon 36006, Israel. e-mail: yairc@macam98.ac.il

†Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa at Oranim, Tivon 36006, Israel. e-mail: raphy@macam98.ac.il

(ii) For all $d \geq 1$ and $k \leq 4d - 4$, if n is sufficiently large then $f(n, k, d, 2) \leq d^2 - d + 1$. In particular, $f(n, k, d, 2)$ is independent of n .

Theorem 1.2 For all $d \geq 1$, $r \geq 2$ and $k > 2r(d - 1)$, there exists an absolute constant C such that

$$f(n, k, d, r) \leq n \frac{k - 2r(d - 1)}{r(k - (r + 1)(d - 1))} + C.$$

In particular, $f(n, k, d, 2) \leq \frac{k - 4d + 4}{2(k - 3d + 3)}n + C$.

Notice that Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 show that for fixed k , $f(n, k, d, 2)$ is determined up to a constant additive term. The following theorem determines $f(n, k, d, 2)$ whenever k is very close to n .

Theorem 1.3 Let d and k be positive integers. For n sufficiently large, $f(n, n - k, d, 2) = n - 2d - k + 3$.

The next section presents our main results. The final section contains some concluding remarks. Throughout the rest of this paper, we use the term k -subgraph to denote a subgraph with minimum degree at least k .

2 Results

We need the following lemmas. The first one is well-known (see, e.g., [1] page xvii).

Lemma 2.1 For every $m \geq k$, every graph with m vertices and more than $(k - 1)m - \binom{k}{2}$ edges contains a k -subgraph. Furthermore, there are graphs with m vertices and $(k - 1)m - \binom{k}{2}$ edges that have no k -subgraph. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 Let X be the set of at least k vertices of a graph G that are not on any k -subgraph. Then, the sum of the degrees of the vertices of X is at most $2(k - 1)|X| - \binom{k}{2}$.

Proof Assume the lemma is false. Put $x = |X|$ and let $S \subset V(G) \setminus X$ denote the set of vertices of the graph G that have a neighbor in X . Put $s = |S|$. Notice that there are at most $(k - 1)x - \binom{k}{2}$ edges with both endpoints in X , and hence, if z denote the number of edges between X and S then $z > \binom{k}{2}$. We distinguish between two cases. Assume first that $s \geq k$. Replace the edges of G with both endpoints in S with a set M of $(k - 1)s - \binom{k}{2}$ edges that induce no k -subgraph (such an M exists by Lemma 2.1). After this replacement, the sum of the degrees of the subgraph on $X \cup S$ is greater than

$$2(k - 1)x - 2\binom{k}{2} + 2z + 2(k - 1)s - 2\binom{k}{2} \geq 2(k - 1)(x + s) - k(k - 1).$$

Hence, this subgraph which has $x+s$ vertices, has more than $(k-1)(x+s) - \binom{k}{2}$ edges and therefore contain a k -subgraph, P . Clearly, P contains at least one vertex of X . Now, delete M and restore the original edges with both endpoints in S . Also, add to P all other vertices of $V(G) \setminus (X \cup S)$ and all their incident edges. The obtained graph is a k -subgraph of G that contains a vertex of X , a contradiction. Now assume $s < k$ (clearly $s \geq 1$). We can repeat the same argument where instead of M we use a complete graph on S , and similar computations hold. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1, part (i). The theorem is trivial for $d = 1$ so we assume $d \geq 2$. Let $G = (V, E)$ have n vertices and minimum degree at least k , and consider some fixed red-blue coloring of G . Let B (R) denote the set of vertices of G that are not on any blue (red) d -subgraph but are on some red (blue) d -subgraph. Let C denote the set of vertices that are not on any red nor blue d -subgraph. Put $|R| = r$, $|B| = b$, $|C| = c$. Clearly, there is a monochromatic subgraph of order at least $(n - |C|)/2$. Hence, if $|C| < d$ the theorem trivially holds since the r.h.s. of (1) is always at most $(n - d + 1)/2$. We may therefore assume $|C| \geq d$. For each $v \in B \cup C$ ($v \in R \cup C$) let $b(v)$ ($r(v)$) denote the number of blue (red) edges incident with v and that are not on any blue (red) d -subgraph. By Lemma 2.2,

$$\sum_{v \in B \cup C} b(v) \leq 2(d-1)(b+c) - \binom{d}{2}, \quad \sum_{v \in R \cup C} r(v) \leq 2(d-1)(r+c) - \binom{d}{2}.$$

Notice that, trivially, for each $v \in C$, $b(v) + r(v) = \deg(v) \geq k$. Put

$$b_c = \sum_{v \in C} b(v), \quad r_c = \sum_{v \in C} r(v).$$

Thus, $b_c + r_c \geq kc$. By Lemma 2.1, the subgraph induced by C contains at most $(d-1)c - \binom{d}{2}$ blue edges and at most $(d-1)c - \binom{d}{2}$ red edges. Hence, this subgraph contributes to the sum of $b(v)$ at most $2(d-1)c - d(d-1)$ and to the sum of $r(v)$ at most $2(d-1)c - d(d-1)$. Hence, the sum of $b(v)$ ($r(v)$) on the vertices of B (R) must be at least $b_c - 2(d-1)c + d(d-1)$ ($r_c - 2(d-1)c + d(d-1)$). It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} 2(d-1)(b+c) - \binom{d}{2} &\geq \sum_{v \in B \cup C} b(v) \geq b_c + (b_c - 2(d-1)c + d(d-1)), \\ 2(d-1)(r+c) - \binom{d}{2} &\geq \sum_{v \in R \cup C} r(v) \geq r_c + (r_c - 2(d-1)c + d(d-1)). \end{aligned}$$

Summing the two last inequalities we have:

$$2(d-1)(b+r) - d(d-1) + 4(d-1)c \geq (2k - 4(d-1))c + 2d(d-1).$$

Thus, $r+b \geq (k-4d+4)c/(d-1) + 3d/2$. On the other hand $r+b+c \leq n$. It follows that

$$c \leq \frac{d-1}{k-3d+3}n - \frac{3d(d-1)}{2(k-3d+3)}, \quad \frac{r+b}{2} + c \leq \frac{k-2d+2}{2(k-3d+3)}n - \frac{3d(d-1)}{4(k-3d+3)}.$$

It follows that there is either a red or a blue monochromatic d -subgraph of order at least

$$\frac{k - 4d + 4}{2(k - 3d + 3)}n + \frac{3d(d - 1)}{4(k - 3d + 3)}.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1, part (ii). It suffices to prove the theorem for $k = 4d - 4$. We first create a specific graph H on n vertices. Place the n vertices in a sequence $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and connect any two vertices whose distance is at most $d - 1$. Hence, all the vertices $\{v_d, \dots, v_{n-d+1}\}$ have degree $2(d - 1)$. The first d and last d vertices have smaller degree. To compensate for this we add the following $\binom{d}{2}$ edges. For all $i = 1, \dots, d - 1$ and for all $j = i, \dots, d - 1$ we add the edge (v_i, v_{jd+1}) . Hence, if, say, $d = 3$ we add (v_1, v_4) , (v_1, v_7) and (v_2, v_7) . Notice that these added edges are indeed new edges. The resulting graph H has n vertices and $(k - 1)n$ edges. Furthermore, all the vertices have degree $2(d - 1)$ except for v_{jd+1} whose degree is $2(d - 1) + j$ for $j = 1, \dots, d - 1$ and $v_{n-d+1+j}$ whose degree is $2(d - 1) - j$ for $j = 1, \dots, d - 1$. Also notice that any d -subgraph of H may only contain the vertices $\{v_1, \dots, v_{d^2-d+1}\}$. Thus, the order of any d -subgraph of H is at most $d^2 - d + 1$. The crucial point to observe is that the vertices of excess degree, namely $\{v_{d+1}, v_{2d+1}, \dots, v_{d^2-d+1}\}$ form an independent set. Hence, for n sufficiently large, K_n contains two edge disjoint copies of H where in the second copy, the vertex playing the role of v_{jd+1} plays the role of the vertex $v_{n-d+1+j}$ in the first copy, for $j = 1, \dots, d - 1$, and vice versa. In other words, there exists a $4(d - 1)$ -regular graph with n vertices, and a red-blue coloring of it, such that the red subgraph and the blue subgraph are each isomorphic to H . In particular, there is no monochromatic d -subgraph with more than $d^2 - d + 1$ vertices. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The theorem is trivial for $d = 1$ so we assume $d \geq 2$. It clearly suffices to prove the theorem for $n = (m + d)r$ where m is an arbitrary element of some fixed infinite *arithmetic* sequence whose difference and first element are only functions of d, k and r . Let m be a positive integer such that

$$y = m \frac{(d - 1)(r - 1)}{k - (r + 1)(d - 1)}$$

is an integer. Whenever necessary we shall assume m is sufficiently large. We shall create a graph with $n = (m + d)r$ vertices, minimum degree at least k , having an r -coloring of its edges with no monochromatic subgraph larger than the value stated in the theorem. Let $A_1, \dots, A_r$ be pairwise disjoint sets of vertices of size y each. Let $B_1, \dots, B_r$ be pairwise disjoint sets of vertices (also disjoint from the A_i) of size $x = m + d - y$ each. The vertex set of our graph is $\cup_{i=1}^r (A_i \cup B_i)$. The edges of G and their colors are defined as follows. In each B_i we place a graph of minimum degree at least $k - (r - 1)(d - 1)$, and color its edges with the color i . In each A_i we place a $(d - 1)$ -degenerate graph with the maximum possible number of vertices of degree $2(d - 1)$. It is easy to show that such graphs exists with precisely d vertices of degree $d - 1$ and the rest are of degree $2(d - 1)$. Denote by A'_i the $y - d$ vertices of A_i with degree $2(d - 1)$ in this subgraph and put

$A_i'' = A_i \setminus A_i'$. Color its edges with the color i . Now for each $j \neq i$ we place a bipartite graph whose sides are A_i and $A_j \cup B_j$ and whose edges are colored i . The degree of all the vertices of $A_j \cup B_j$ in this subgraph is $d - 1$, the degrees of all the vertices of A_i' are at least $(k - (r + 1)(d - 1))/(r - 1)$ and the degrees of all vertices of A_i'' in this subgraph are at least $(k - r(d - 1))/(r - 1)$. This can be done for m sufficiently large since

$$(y - d) \left\lceil \frac{k - (r + 1)(d - 1)}{r - 1} \right\rceil + d \left\lceil \frac{k - r(d - 1)}{r - 1} \right\rceil \leq (d - 1)(m + d).$$

Notice that when m is sufficiently large we can place all of these $r(r - 1)$ bipartite subgraphs such that their edge sets are pairwise disjoint (an immediate consequence of Hall's Theorem).

By our construction, the minimum degree of the graph G is at least k . Furthermore, any monochromatic subgraph with minimum degree at least d must be completely placed within some B_i . It follows that

$$f(n, k, d, r) \leq x = m + d - m \frac{(d - 1)(r - 1)}{k - (r + 1)(d - 1)} = n \frac{k - 2r(d - 1)}{r(k - (r + 1)(d - 1))} + C.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose $n \geq R(4d + 2k - 5, 4d + 2k - 5)$ where $R(a, b)$ is the usual Ramsey number. Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) = n - k$ and fix a red-blue coloring of G . Add edges to G in order to obtain K_n . Note that at most $k - 1$ new edges are incident with each vertex. Color the new edges arbitrarily using the colors red and blue. The obtained complete graph contains either a red or blue $K_{4d+2k-5}$. Deleting the new edges we get a monochromatic subgraph of G on $4d + 2k - 5$ vertices and minimum degree at least $4d + k - 4 \geq 4d - 3 \geq d$. Now consider the largest monochromatic subgraph Y with minimum degree at least d . Hence, $|Y| \geq 4d + 2k - 5$. Assume, w.l.o.g., that $|Y|$ is red. If $|Y| \leq n - 2d - k + 2$, then define X to be a set of $2d + k - 2$ vertices in $V \setminus Y$. We call a vertex $y \in Y$ *bad* if it has d “red” neighbors in X . Let B denote the subset of bad vertices in Y . Since the number of red edges between X and B is at most $|X|(d - 1)$ we have $|B|d \leq |X|(d - 1)$. Hence, $|B| < |X| = 2d + k - 2 \leq 4d + 2k - 5 \leq |Y|$. In particular, $|B| \leq 2d + k - 3$. Consider the bipartite blue graph on X versus $Y \setminus B$. Its order is $|X| + |Y| - |B| > |Y|$. Furthermore, we claim that it has minimum degree at least d . This is true because each $y \in Y \setminus B$ has at least $|X| - (d - 1) - (k - 1) = d$ blue neighbors in $|X|$ and each vertex in X is adjacent to at least $|Y| - |B| - (d - 1) - (k - 1) \geq 4d + 2k - 5 - (2d + k - 3) - (d - 1) - (k - 1) = d$ vertices in $Y \setminus B$. Thus, $X \cup (Y \setminus B)$ contradicts the maximality of Y . So, we must have $|Y| \geq n - 2d - k + 3$, as required. Clearly the value $n - 2d - k + 3$ is sharp for large n . Take a red $K_{n-2d-k+3}$ on vertices $v_1, \dots, v_{n-2d-k+3}$ and a blue K_{2d+k-3} on vertices $u_1, \dots, u_{2d+k-3}$. Put $A = \{v_1, \dots, v_{2d+k-3}\}$. Connect with $d - 1$ blue edges the vertex u_i to the vertices $v_i, \dots, v_{i+d-2 \pmod{2d+k-3}}$, and connect with $d - 1$ red edges the vertex u_i to the vertices $v_{i+d-1}, \dots, v_{i+2d-3 \pmod{2d+k-3}}$. There are no edges between u_i and $v_{i+2d-2}, \dots, v_{i+2d+k-4 \pmod{2d+k-3}}$. The rest of the edges between the u_i

and v_j for $j \geq 2d + k - 2$ are colored blue. It is easy to verify that this graph is $(n - k)$ -regular and contain no blue nor red d -subgraph with more than $n - 2d - k + 3$ vertices. $\square$

3 Concluding remarks

- In the proof of Theorem 1.3 we assume $n \geq R(4d + 2k - 5, 4d + 2k - 5)$ and hence n is very large. We can improve upon this to $n \geq \Theta(d + k)$ using the following argument. Let $g(n, m, d, r)$ denote the largest integer t such that in any r coloring of a graph with n vertices and m edges there exists a monochromatic subgraph of order at least t and minimum degree d .

Proposition 3.1

$$g(n, m, d, r) \geq \sqrt{2 \left(m - (d - 1)n + \binom{d}{2} \right) / r} \geq \sqrt{2m/r - 2dn/r}.$$

Proof. Suppose G has n vertices m edges and the edges are r -colored. Start deleting edge-disjoint monochromatic d -graphs as long as we can. We begin with m edges and when we stop we remain with at most $(d - 1)n - \binom{d}{2}$ edges. Hence, there are at least $q = (m - (d - 1)n + \binom{d}{2}) / r$ edges in one of the monochromatic d -graphs. Thus, this monochromatic d -graph contains at least $\sqrt{2q}$ vertices as claimed. Notice that this bound is rather tight for $d \leq \sqrt{2m/r} - 1$. Consider the n -vertex graph composed of r vertex-disjoint copies of $K_{\sqrt{2m/r}}$ and $n - \sqrt{2mr}$ isolated vertices (assume all numbers are integers, for simplicity). Then, $e(G) \geq m$ and by coloring each of the r large cliques with different colors we get that any monochromatic d -subgraph has at most $\sqrt{2m/r}$ vertices. $\square$

Proposition 3.1 shown that in the proof of Theorem 1.3 we can ensure an initial big monochromatic d -subgraph already when $n \geq 7(k + 2d)/2 = \Theta(d + k)$.

- In the case where $r \geq 3$ colors are considered and $k > 2r(d - 1)$ is fixed, Theorem 1.2 supplies a linear upper bound for $f(n, k, d, r)$. However, unlike the case where only two colors are used, we do not have a matching lower bound. The following recursive argument supplies a linear lower bound in case $k = k(d)$ is sufficiently large. We may assume that r is a power of 2 as any lower bound for r colors implies a lower bound for less colors. Given an r -coloring of an n -vertex graph G , split the colors into two groups of $r/2$ colors each. Now, using Theorem 1.1 we have a subgraph that uses only the colors of one of the groups, and whose minimum degree is x , where x is a parameter satisfying $k \geq 4x - 3$. The order of this subgraph is at least $n(k - 4x + 4)/(2(k - 3x + 3))$. Now we can use the recursion to show that this $r/2$ -colored linear subgraph has a linear order subgraph which is monochromatic. x is chosen so as to

maximize the order of the final monochromatic subgraph. For example, with $r = 4$ we can take $x = 4d - 3$ and hence $k \geq 16d - 15$. For this choice of x (which is optimal for this strategy) we get a monochromatic subgraph of order at least

$$n \frac{k - 4(4d - 3) + 4)((4d - 3) - 4d + 4)}{(2(k - 3(4d - 3) + 3))(2((4d - 3) - 3d + 3))} = n \frac{k - 16d + 16}{4d(k - 12d + 12)}.$$

- Our theorems determine, up to a constant additive term, the value of $f(n, k, d, 2)$ whenever k or $n - k$ are fixed and n is sufficiently large. It may be interesting to establish precise values for all $k < n$. Another possible path of research is the extension of the definition of $f(n, k, d, r)$ to t -uniform hypergraphs.

References

- [1] B. Bollobás, *Extremal Graph Theory*, Academic Press, 1978.
- [2] A. Bialostocki, P. Dierker and W. Voxman, *Either a graph or its complement is connected : A continuing saga*, Mathematics Magazine, to appear.
- [3] D. W. Matula, *Ramsey Theory for graph connectivity*, J. Graph Theory 7 (1983), 95-105.
- [4] Y. Caro and Y. Roditty, *Connected colorings of graphs*, Ars Combinatoria, to appear.
- [5] Y. Caro and R. Yuster, *Edge coloring complete uniform hypergraphs with many components*, Submitted.
- [6] D.B. West, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Prentice Hall, second edition, 2001.